

PTO/SB/64 (10-01)

Approved for use through 10/31/2002. OMB 0651-0031 U.S. Patent and Trademark Office; U.S. DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE

Under the Paperwork Reduction Act of 1995, no persons are required to respond to a collection of information unless it displays a valid OMB control number.

			Docket Number (Optional)	
UNINTENTIONALLY UNDER 37 CFR 1.137(b)		13270:11		
First named inventor:	Benito Fernandez		•	
Application No.:	09/293,536	Art Unit: N	ot yet assigned	
Filed:	04/15/99	Examiner: N	ot yet assigned	
Title: SYSTEM AND MET	THOD FOR CONDITION ASSE	ESSMENT AND END	OF LIFE PREDICTION	
Attention: Office of Petitions Assistant Commissioner for Patents Box DAC Washington, D.C. 20231				
NOTE: If information or assistance is needed in completing this form, please contact Petitions Information at (703) 305-9282.				
The above-identified application became abandoned for failure to file a timely and proper reply to a notice or action by the United States Patent and Trademark Office. The date of abandonment is the day after the expiration date of the period set for reply in the Office notice or action plus an extensions of time actually obtained.				
APPLICANT HEREBY PETITIONS FOR REVIVAL OF THIS APPLICATION				
 NOTE: A grantable petition requires the following items: Petition fee; Reply and/or issue fee; Terminal disclaimer with disclaimer feerequired for all utility and plant applications filed before June 8, 1995; and for all design applications; and Statement that the entire delay was unintentional. 				
1. Petition fee ☑ Small entity-fee \$4000 (37 CFR 1.17(m)). Applicant claims small entity status. See 37 CFR 1.27.				
Other than small entity - fee \$(37 CFR 1.17(m))				
2. Reply and/or fee				
A. The reply and/or fee to the above-noted Office action in the form ofDeclaration and Power of Attorney (identify type of reply): has been filed previously on				
	(Dage 1 of 2			

Burden Hour Statement: This form is estimated to take 1.0 hour to complete. Time will vary depending upon the needs of the individual case. Any comments on the amount of time you are required to complete this form should be sent to the Chief Information Officer, U.S. Patent and Trademark Officer (2023). DO NOT SEND FEES OR COMPLETED FORMS TO THIS ADDRESS. SEND TO: Assistant Commissioner for Patents, Washington, DC 20231.

PTO/SB/64 (10-01)
Approved for use 10/31/2002. OMB 0651-0031
U.S. Patent and Trademark Office; U.S. DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE
Under the Paperwork Reduction Act of 1995, no persons are required to respond to a collection of information unless it displays a valid OMB control number.

•	1
3. Terminal disclaimer with disclaimer fee	
Since this utility/plant application was file	d on or after June 8, 1995, no terminal disclaimer is required.
	(37 CFR 1.20(d)) of \$ for a small entity or \$ for required period of time is enclosed herewith (see PTO/SB/63).
filing of a grantable petition under 37 CFR 1 Trademark Office may require additional abandonment or the delay in filing a pe 711.03(c), subsections (III)(C) and (D))]. 5. Statement: Applicant is responsabling of Notice of Terminat WARNING: Information on this form me	required reply from the due date for the required reply until the .137(b) was unintentional. [NOTE. The United States Patent and information if there is a question as to whether either the stition under 37 CFR 1.137(b) was unintentional (MPEP anding within two (2) months of the date of tion of Proceedings, dated August 16, 2002. The approximation and authorization on PTO-2038.
October 16, 2002 Date	Signature
Telephone	
Number:(512) 482-6918	John Schell, Patent Agent Typed or printed name
	HUGHES & LUCE LLP
	111 Congress Avenue, Suite 900 Address
Enclosures: ₩ Fee Payment (+ 1)	Austin, Texas 78701
Reply	
☐ Terminal Disclaimer Form	
☐ Additional sheets containing s	tatements establishing unintentional delay
Other: Preliminary Ame	indment; copy of Decision Granting Petition; copy of attom of Proceedings; Transmittal letter
CERTIFICATE OF MA	AILING OR TRANSMISSION [37 CFR 1.8(a)]
I hereby certify that this correspondence is being	ng:
	al Service on the date shown below with sufficient postage as ed to: Assistant Commissioner for Patents, Box DAC, Washington,
transmitted by facsimile on the date sh (703) 308-6916.	own below to the United States Patent and Trademark Office at
October 16, 2002 Date	Reina P. Benfild
Date	/ Signature
	Reina R. Bernfeld Type or printed name of person signing certifier FIVED
1	i ype of printed figure of person signing certificate [] = [] - []

OCT 2 3 2002

Gray Cary Ware & Freidenrich LLP

Steven R. Springer

Suite 1440

100 Congress Avenue

Austin, Texas 78701

COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS
UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE
WASHINGTON, D.C. 20231

COPY

COPY MAILED

JAN 2 9 2001

OFFICE OF PETITIONS
A/C PATENTS

In re Application of Parlos et al.
Application No. 09/293,536
Filed April 15, 1999
Attorney Docket No. ORAS1100-1

DECISION GRANTING PETITION

This is a decision on the Petition filed July 6, 1999, requesting that the above-identified application be accorded a filing date of April 15, 1999.

On April 15, 1999, the application was deposited with the United States Postal Service "Express Mail Post Office to Addressee" service under 37 CFR 1.10. The filing included an unexcuted declaration.

On April 19, 1999, Initial Patent Examination Division mailed a Notice of Incomplete Application stating that a filing date had not been assigned to the application because pages 260-299 of the specification were missing and that filing date of the application would be the date of receipt of the missing pages of the specification.

In response on July 7, 1999, the present petition, including authorization to charge counsel's deposit account for the requisite petition fee, was filed. Petitioners argue that the specification was complete because the pages were not omitted but were inadvertently misnumbered. Petitioners request that the application be accorded a filing date of April 15, 1999.

A review of the record reveals that pages numbered 260-299 and 783 have not been located in the application file. However, the language of the specification is consistent with petitioners' assertion that no pages have been omitted, only that the pages were inadvertently misnumbered. Accordingly, the evidence is convincing that the application was complete on filing, although it contained misnumbered pages, and is entitled to a filing date of April 15, 1999.

In view of the above, the petition is granted.

The Notice mailed April 19, 1999 is hereby vacated.

Petitioners should amend the specification to renumber the pages consecutively and such amendment should be submitted prior to the first Office action to avoid delays in the prosecution of the application. See MPEP 601.01(d).

OCT **2 5** 2002

09/293,536 Page 2

A further review of the record reveals that the application still does not include an oath or declaration in compliance with 37 CFR 1.63. Therefore, an oath or declaration in compliance with 36 CFR 1.63, identifying the specification to which it is directed (preferably by reference to the above-identified Application Number and filing date) is required. The surcharge under 35 CFR 1.16(e) is also required.

Applicants are given ONE MONTH from the date of this decision to file the oath or declaration and the surcharge required above in order to avoid abandonment of the application. This time period may be extended pursuant to 37 CFR 1.136 (a). The response should be directed to the attention of the Office of Initial Patent Examination.

This application is being returned to the Office of Initial Patent Examination for further processing with a filing date of April 15, 1999, and to await the filing of the oath or declaration, and surcharge filed in response to the decision.

Telephone inquiries related to this decision should be directed to Kery Fries at (703) 308-0687.

Manuel Antonakas Director of Petitions

kf